

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/911,069	07/23/2001	Charles H. Perry	FIS92000062US2	FIS920000062US2 6945	
75	90 04/23/2003			,	
Cantor Colburn LLP			EXAMINER		
55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002			GOFF II, JOHN L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1733	8	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		<u></u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/911,069	PERRY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	John L. Goff	1733				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspond nc addr ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	H(S) FROM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 l</u>	February 2003 .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matters Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		xaminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>05 Fe</u>						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Book See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has been	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
LLC Between Today and Office						

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. In view of applicants arguments and amendment to claim 12 the restriction requirement (paper no. 5) is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 5-12, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for electrically conductive particles, does not reasonably provide enablement for any type of conductive particle. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The term "conductive" in the claims can read on both "electrically" and "thermally" conductive. However, the specification is only considered to be enabling for "electrically" conductive. Furthermore, the use of the term "component" can read on any conductive material, including polymeric materials having a conductive backbone or chain. However, the specification is only considered to be enabling for "conductive particles". Consequently, the scope of the claims is beyond the scope of the enabling disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 09/911,069

Art Unit: 1733

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants claims require an interconnection comprising a conductive polymer comprising a polymer component and a conductive component. The term "conductive polymer" is used in the art to specify polymeric materials in which the actual backbone/chains of the polymers are conductive. Applicants use the term to designate a conductive composition that comprises nonconductive polymers mixed with conductive components. Consequently, the use of the term "conductive polymer" in the claims is inconsistent with its accepted meaning in the art and therefore renders the claims indefinite. Furthermore, applicants do not specifically state that by the use of the term "conductive", they are referring to "electrically conductive". Consequently, the term can also be interpreted to mean "thermally conductive", which is unsupported by the disclosure and is therefore considered indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1733

7. Claims 1, 5, 9-12, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Higashiguchi (U.S. Patent 5,883,432).

Higashiguchi is directed to forming an electrical connection between two devices, a semiconductor device and a printed circuit board. Higashiguchi teaches bonding (soldering) metal bumps (solderable caps) onto a printed circuit pattern (contact pad) on the printed circuit board and onto an electrode pad on the semiconductor device using a bump bonder, applying an electrically conductive adhesive comprising a polymer component and conductive particles onto the metal bumps, and thermocompressing the metal bumps of the printed circuit board to the corresponding metal bumps of the semiconductor device to form an electrical connection (Figures 1A and 2 and Column 3, lines 1-3 and 5-9 and Column 4, lines 5-12). Higashiguchi teaches the conductive particles comprise gold, silver, etc. (Column 3, lines 62-67 and Column 4, lines 1-2). Higashiguchi teaches the metal bumps comprise gold, solder, etc. (Column 3, lines 29-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Art Unit: 1733

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claims 2-4 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higashiguchi in view of Kang et al. (U.S. Patent 6,337,522).

Regarding claims 2, 3, 13, and 14, Higashiguchi as shown above teaches all of the limitations in claims 2, 3, 13, and 14 except for a specific recitation on the different types of polymer components that can be used in the electrically conductive adhesive. Absent any unexpected results, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have readily appreciated using as the polymer component of the electrically conductive adhesive taught by Higashiguchi components such as polyimides, siloxanes, etc. as it was well known in the art to form electrically conductive adhesives comprising these components as shown for example by Kang et al.

Kang et al. are directed to forming an electrical connection between two devices. Kang et al. teach applying a solder ball to the contact pad of a first device, applying an electrically conductive adhesive to the contact pad of a second device, and thermocompressing the two devices together by joining the solder ball and the electrically conductive adhesive (Figure 4 and Column 6, lines 15-19 and 25-42). Kang et al. teach the electrically conductive adhesive comprises a polymer component and a conductive component. Kang et al. teach the polymer component comprises polyimides, siloxanes, etc. (Column 5, lines 37-42). Kang et al. teach the

Application/Control Number: 09/911,069

Art Unit: 1733

conductive component comprises conducting particles such as gold, silver, etc. (Column 5, lines 43-44).

Regarding claims 4 and 15, Higashiguchi teaches the conductive particles comprise gold, silver, etc. (Column 3, lines 62-67 and Column 4, lines 1-2).

11. Claims 6-8 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higashiguchi.

Regarding claims 6, 7, 17, and 18, Higashiguchi is silent as to a specific teaching on the size of the interconnection. However, it would have been well within the ordinary skill of one in the art at the time the invention was made to determine the size of the interconnection without requiring undue experimentation, as one would have readily appreciated the size of the interconnection is dependent upon the type of product produced.

Regarding claims 8 and 19, Higashiguchi is silent as to the specific resistivity of the polymer component of the electrically conductive adhesive. However, absent any unexpected results one of ordinary skill in the art would have readily appreciated the polymer component having a low resistivity to improve the electrical contact between the two devices.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **John L. Goff** whose telephone number is **703-305-7481**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8 - 5) and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on 703-308-2058. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/911,069

• Art Unit: 1733

Page 7

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

John J. Goss

John L. Goff April 17, 2003

Michael W. Ball
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700